

REMARKS

At the outset, the Examiner is thanked for the thorough review and consideration of the pending application. The Final Office Action dated December 30, 2005 has been received and its contents carefully reviewed.

Claims 8, 10–11, and 22 are hereby amended. Claims 3–5, 7–11, 13–15, 17–23, and 27–37 are pending, with claims 27–37 withdrawn from consideration. Reexamination and reconsideration of the pending claims are respectfully requested.

In the Office Action, claim 10 is objected to due to informalities; and claims 3–5, 7–11, 13–15, and 17–23 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as being unpatentable over U.S. Patent No. 3,912,920 to Kubota (hereinafter “Kubota”).

In the Office Action, claim 10 is objected to due to informalities. Applicants hereby amend claim 10 and respectfully request that the Examiner withdraw the objection.

In the Office Action, claims 3–5, 7–11, 13–15, and 17–23 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kubota. Applicants respectfully traverse the rejection of independent claim 8 and request reconsideration. Independent claim 8 is allowable in that it recites “a polarizer holder supporting said plurality of quartz substrate parts, wherein the polarizer holder includes an optically absorptive material, and wherein the polarizer holder absorbs light reflected by the plurality of quartz substrate parts.” Nothing in Kubota teaches or suggests at least this feature of the claimed invention.

The Examiner states that “nothing in Kubota is contradictory to the limitation that the polarizer holder comprises an optically absorptive material.” (Page 4 of the Office Action). Applicants respectfully disagree and assert that Kubota’s teaching is contradictory to the claimed invention. Kubota teaches away from “absorb[ing] light reflected by the plurality of quartz substrate parts.” Kubota does so by teaching, for example, that “the light component (Pp) (transmitted light) is utilized for the narrow angle forward illumination of the leadlight *while the light component (Ps) (reflected light) illuminates the environs over a wide angle* to that it does not directly illuminate the automobile coming from the opposite direction. This there is entirely

no loss of the projected light from the headlight.” (Column 3, lines 35–42, emphasis added). As such, Kubota teaches away from absorbing light reflected by the plurality of quartz substrate parts. Accordingly, Applicants respectfully submit that claim 8, and its dependent claims 3–5, 7, and 9–10, are allowable over Kubota.

Applicants respectfully traverse the rejection of independent claim 11 and request reconsideration. Independent claim 11 is allowable in that it recites “a polarizer holder supporting the quartz substrate part, wherein the polarizer holder includes an optically absorptive material, and wherein the polarizer holder absorbs light reflected by the quartz substrate part.” Nothing in Kubota teaches or suggests at least this feature of the claimed invention. Accordingly, for the same or similar reasons as those regarding claim 8, Applicants respectfully submit that claim 11 is allowable over Kubota.

Applicants respectfully traverse the rejection of independent claim 22 and request reconsideration. Independent claim 22 is allowable in that it recites “a polarizer holder supporting said plurality of quartz substrate parts, wherein the polarizer holder includes an optically absorptive material, and wherein the polarizer holder absorbs light reflected by the plurality of quartz substrate parts.” Nothing in Kubota teaches or suggests at least this feature of the claimed invention. Accordingly, for the same or similar reasons as those regarding claim 8, Applicants respectfully submit that claim 22, and its dependent claims 13–15, 17–21, and 23, are allowable over Kubota.

Applicants believe the foregoing amendments place the application in condition for allowance and early, favorable action is respectfully solicited.

If for any reason the Examiner finds the application other than in condition for allowance, the Examiner is requested to call the undersigned attorney at (202) 496-7500 to discuss the steps necessary for placing the application in condition for allowance. All correspondence should continue to be sent to the below-listed address.


Amendment dated March 27, 2006

Reply to Final Office Action dated December 30, 2005

If these papers are not considered timely filed by the Patent and Trademark Office, then a petition is hereby made under 37 C.F.R. §1.136, and any additional fees required under 37 C.F.R. §1.136 for any necessary extension of time, or any other fees required to complete the filing of this response, may be charged to Deposit Account No. 50-0911. Please credit any overpayment to deposit Account No. 50-0911. A duplicate copy of this sheet is enclosed.

Dated: March 27, 2006

Respectfully submitted,

By 
Eric J. Nuss

Registration No.: 40,106
MCKENNA LONG & ALDRIDGE LLP
1900 K Street, N.W.
Washington, DC 20006
(202) 496-7500
Attorney for Applicants